

$$\sum_{i,j} \nabla_j A_i \nabla_j A_i$$

$$H = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \Pi_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_j A_i)^2}_{\text{SHO "free theory"}} - J_i A_i + H_{\text{Coul}}$$

→ calculate many effects in atomic physics from this

SHO
"free theory"

treat as pert'n.

$$\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)}{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|} d^3y$$

- made expansion of free theory
- treat $J_i A_i$ as pert'n.
- use perturbation theory.

1. - $\vec{J}(x)$ just a fn - classical source
2. - $\vec{J}(x)$ as made of qm ptcls - quantum source but non relativistic.
3. - $\vec{J}(x)$ made of atom fields) describe emission/absorption of γ rays in atomic transitions
 both atom & relativistic.

about $J(x)$ as atm field, cannot describe matter creation/annihilation

e.g. $e^+e^- \rightarrow \emptyset$ electron/positron annihilation

$\emptyset \rightarrow e^+e^-$ " creation

$n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$ β decay

Making $J(x)$ into QFT \rightarrow "QED"

So we want a relativistic QFT for $J(x)$ $\xrightarrow{\text{be concrete}}$ electron.

- What is Lagrangian \rightarrow
- Hamiltonian \rightarrow
- eqns of motion?

$\xrightarrow{\text{proper}}$ spinors representations of Lorentz group
construct simplest \mathcal{L} consistent w/ symmetries
 \rightarrow Dirac

\swarrow heuristic
 \rightarrow historical development, Dirac originally discovered
intuitive

Relativistic QM: what is a relativistic Schrödinger eqn?

ordinary QM: $i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi = H \psi (= \frac{p^2}{2m} \psi)$ NR

t & x not treated same

⊗



Try: $i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi = H \psi = \sqrt{p^2 + m^2} \psi$ still not Lorentz covariant

Try: $-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \psi = (p^2 + m^2) \psi = (-\nabla^2 + m^2) \psi$

$(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \nabla^2) \psi = m^2 \psi$
 $\square^2 \psi = m^2 \psi$

K-G eqn.

relativistic scalar wave eqn.

⊙ Maxwell.
cf.

Lorentz invariant
but not QM

Problem: 2nd order in $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rightarrow |\psi|^2$ is not a probability (prob. not conserved)

ψ does not specify state of system
need ψ and $\dot{\psi}$

↓
KG not eqn for wavefn.

↓
turns out it describes qtm fields
field eqn. not wavefns.

Try: Dirac make KG linear by enlarging $\psi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$
 $p_{tim}^2 = (\underbrace{p_{tim}^2})^2$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} f &= \dots \\ \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{f}{dx} \right) &= \dots \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$(*) \mathbb{1}_N (p^2 + m^2) = (\alpha_x p_x + \alpha_y p_y + \alpha_z p_z + \beta m)^2$$

$$N \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} p_x^2 & & & 0 \\ & p_y^2 & & \\ & & p_z^2 & \\ 0 & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_N \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha_i p_i + \beta m) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_N \end{pmatrix}$$

"Dirac eqn"

$N \times N$
are there any matrices $\alpha_x, \alpha_y, \alpha_z, \beta$
s.t. (*) is true?

$$\alpha_x^2 p_x^2 + \alpha_y^2 p_y^2 + \alpha_z^2 p_z^2 + \beta^2 m^2 + p_x p_y (\alpha_x \alpha_y + \alpha_y \alpha_x) + \dots + m p_x (\alpha_x \beta + \beta \alpha_x) + \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \begin{aligned} \alpha_x^2 = \alpha_y^2 = \alpha_z^2 = \beta^2 = 1 \\ \{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\} = 0 \quad i \neq j \\ \{\alpha_i, \beta\} = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{eigenvalues } \pm 1 \\ \alpha_i, \beta \text{ must be Hermitian} \\ \text{can show } \text{Tr } \alpha_i = \text{Tr } \beta = 0 \end{array}$$

$$\{A, B\} = A \cdot B + B \cdot A$$

"anticommutator"