

Grouping all terms together / A
 two new functions

$p' = p + g$
 $g = p' - p$
 $g^2 = (p' - p)^2 = 2m^2 - 2p \cdot p'$

Rest frame $\xleftarrow{(p, p)}$ $\xrightarrow{(p', p)}$
 $(m, 0, 0, 0)$

$p^\mu p_\mu = m^2 \Rightarrow p = 0$
 So $g = 0$ and $p = p'$
 But still possible!

$$\bar{u}(p') V^\mu(p, p') u(p) \Big|_{\substack{p \rightarrow p' \\ p^2 = m^2}} = e \bar{u}(p') \gamma^\mu u(p) = 2ep^\mu \quad (\text{spinor ident})$$

Consider more general vtx for $p^2 = p'^2 = m^2, g^2 \neq 0$

$\bar{u}(p') V^\mu(p, p') u(p)$ what could it be?
 p^μ, p'^μ, g^μ

$p^2 = m^2$
 $p'^2 = m^2$
 $p' - p \rightarrow g^2$

$A(g^2) p^\mu + B(g^2) p'^\mu + C(g^2) g^\mu$

$A = B$ by ward identities (no proof)

\rightarrow any \cancel{p} or $\cancel{p'}$ hits \bar{u} or u & gives m by Dirac

$A(g^2) (p + p')^\mu + C(g^2) g^\mu \rightarrow$ only 2 form factors!
 All orders result!

Q

Another form: Gordon identity

$$\bar{u}(p') (p' + p)^\mu u(p) = \bar{u}(p') (\gamma^\mu + \frac{2i \sum_{\nu} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu}{2}) u(p)$$

$$\sum_{\nu} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = \frac{1}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$$

$$\bar{u}' V^\mu u = e \bar{u}(p') \left(F_1(q^2) \gamma^\mu - \frac{i}{2} F_2(q^2) \sum_{\nu} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \right) u(p)$$

vanishes as $q \rightarrow 0$!

Renorm cond: $F_1(0) = 1$

Detailed calculation: $F_2(0) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + O(\alpha^2)$

Anomalous Magnetic Moment of e^-

Think of $\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \bar{\Psi} V^\mu A_\mu \Psi$ as effective gauge Hamiltonian

(let's evaluate $\langle e | \mathcal{H}_{eff} | e \rangle$ in background mag field)

↓ go to low energy ($q^2 \rightarrow 0$, $p, p' \rightarrow (m, 0, 0)$, $A^0 = 0, \vec{A} = (0, Bx, 0)$)

$\sum_{\nu} g_{\nu} A_{\nu}$
 $\rightarrow \sum_{\nu} g_{\nu} F_{\nu\mu}$

$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = e \bar{\Psi} \left(\gamma^\mu A_\mu - \frac{ie}{m} F_2(0) \sum_{\nu} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu A_\mu \right) \Psi$

$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = e \bar{\Psi} \left(\gamma^\mu A_\mu + \frac{e}{2m} \sum_{\nu} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu A_\mu \right) \Psi$

$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = e \bar{\Psi} \left(\gamma^\mu A_\mu + \frac{e}{2m} \sum_{\nu} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu A_\mu \right) \Psi$

$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = e \bar{\Psi} \left(\gamma^\mu A_\mu + \frac{e}{2m} \sum_{\nu} \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu A_\mu \right) \Psi$

$E = -eB$

$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \bar{\Psi} \left(\gamma^0 + \frac{e}{2m} \sum_{\nu} \gamma^\nu \gamma^0 \gamma^\nu \right) \Psi$

Use G. ident

$$\gamma^{\mu} \rightarrow \frac{(p+p')^{\mu}}{2m} - \frac{i}{m} \sum_{\nu} \gamma^{\nu} S_{\nu}$$

So

$$\bar{u} V^{\mu} u = e \bar{u} \left(F_1 \frac{(p+p')^{\mu}}{2m} - \frac{i}{m} \sum_{\nu} g_{\nu} (F_1 + F_2) \right) u$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = e \bar{\Psi} V^{\mu} A_{\mu} \Psi \xrightarrow{\text{zero momenta}} -\frac{eB}{m} \bar{\Psi} S^z \Psi (F_1 + F_2)$$

$$\langle e | \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} | e \rangle = -\frac{eB}{2m} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right) \langle e | \bar{\Psi} S^z \Psi | e \rangle$$

Compare

$$E = \vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B} \quad \text{gyromagnetic ratio}$$

$$\vec{\mu} = \frac{g e}{2m} \vec{S}$$

Spin of electron
in z direction
 $\langle S_z \rangle$

$$g = 2 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2) \right)$$

how big next corr'n?
 $\left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\right)^2 \sim 10^{-6}$

Classic result!

QED predicts

$$\frac{g-2}{2} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2) \approx 0.00116$$

7/20 (2019)

Current exp: ~~0.00231930436182~~ (52)

exp: $\left(\frac{g^2}{2}\right)_{exp} = 0.00115965218091(26)$

th: $0.001159652181643(764)$
(up to α^5 !)

agreement to 10 sig figs!

most accurately tested prediction in all of physics!

CF muon mag moment

(th) $0.00116591804(51)$

(exp) $0.00116592061(4)$

→ receives negligible α^6 contrib
discrepancy! not known well

↑
FNAL & Brookhaven

↓
longstanding discrepancy of SM
hint of NP?