

Solutions for MID-TERM
Problem 1.

Calculate the first and second-orders corrections to the energy eigenvalues of a linear harmonic oscillator with the cubic term $-\lambda\mu x^3$ added to the potential. Discuss the condition for the validity of the approximation.

The Hamiltonian of the perturbed system is $H = H^{(0)} + \lambda H^{(1)}$ where $H^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2m}p_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}kx^2$, $H^{(1)} = -\mu x^3$. The first-order correction to energy eigenvalues is given by

$$E_n^{(1)} = \langle n | -\mu x^3 | n \rangle = -\mu \left(\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \right)^{3/2} \langle n | (a + a^\dagger)^3 | n \rangle .$$

The expansion of $(a + a^\dagger)^3$ is

$$a^3 + a^2 a^\dagger + a a^\dagger a + a^\dagger a^2 + a^{\dagger 2} a + a^\dagger a a^\dagger + a a^{\dagger 2} + a^{\dagger 3} .$$

In the above expansion each term has unequal powers of a and a^\dagger . Hence, $\langle n | (a + a^\dagger)^3 | n \rangle = 0$ and $E_n^{(1)} = 0$. The first-order correction to the energy eigenvalues is thus 0. Next, calculate the second-order correction to E_n .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{(2)} &= \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{|\langle n | H^{(1)} | m \rangle|^2}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}} \\ &= \frac{\mu^2}{\hbar\omega} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \right)^3 \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{|\langle n | (a + a^\dagger)^3 | m \rangle|^2}{(n - m)} . \end{aligned}$$

Consider the term $\langle n | (a + a^\dagger)^3 | m \rangle$. It is expanded as

$$\langle n | a^3 + a^2 a^\dagger + a a^\dagger a + a^\dagger a^2 + a^{\dagger 2} a + a^\dagger a a^\dagger + a a^{\dagger 2} + a^{\dagger 3} | m \rangle .$$

We evaluate each term in the above integral. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n | a^3 | m \rangle &= \langle n | a^2 \sqrt{m} | m - 1 \rangle \\ &= \langle n | a \sqrt{m(m-1)} | m - 2 \rangle \\ &= \langle n | \sqrt{m(m-1)(m-2)} | m - 3 \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{m(m-1)(m-2)} \delta_{n,m-3} \\ \langle n | a^2 a^\dagger | m \rangle &= \langle n | a^2 \sqrt{m+1} | m + 1 \rangle \\ &= (m+1) \sqrt{m} \delta_{n,m-1} \\ \langle n | a a^\dagger a | m \rangle &= m \sqrt{m} \delta_{n,m-1} \\ \langle n | a^\dagger a^2 | m \rangle &= (m-1) \sqrt{m} \delta_{n,m-1} \\ \langle n | a^{\dagger 2} a | m \rangle &= m \sqrt{m+1} \delta_{n,m+1} \\ \langle n | a^\dagger a a^\dagger | m \rangle &= (m+1) \sqrt{m+1} \delta_{n,m+1} \\ \langle n | a a^{\dagger 2} | m \rangle &= (m+2) \sqrt{m+1} \delta_{n,m+1} \\ \langle n | a^{\dagger 3} | m \rangle &= \sqrt{(m+1)(m+2)(m+3)} \delta_{n,m+3} . \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n | (a + a^\dagger)^3 | m \rangle &= \sqrt{m(m-1)(m-2)} \delta_{n,m-3} \\ &\quad + 3m^{3/2} \delta_{n,m-1} + 3(m+1)^{3/2} \delta_{n,m+1} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{(m+1)(m+2)(m+3)} \delta_{n,m+3} . \end{aligned}$$

In the summation in the expression for $E_n^{(2)}$ the nonzero contribution of $\langle n | (a + a^\dagger)^3 | m \rangle$ comes from the cases $m = n + 3$, $n + 1$, $n - 1$ and $n - 3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{(2)} &= \frac{\mu^2}{\hbar\omega} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \right)^3 \left[\frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{-3} + \frac{9(n+1)^3}{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{9n^3}{1} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3} \right] \\ &= -\frac{\mu^2 \hbar^2}{8m^3 \omega^4} (30n^2 + 30n + 11) . \end{aligned}$$

Since $E_n^{(2)}$ is negative, all the energy eigenvalues are reduced. The amount of reduction increases with n . This is because due to the cubic term the potential flattens for large x .

The ratio of the change in energy due to the cubic term is

$$\frac{E_n^{(2)}}{E_n^{(0)}} = -\frac{\mu^2 \hbar}{4m^3 \omega^5} \frac{(30n^2 + 30n + 11)}{(2n + 1)}.$$

A condition for the validity of the perturbation theory is that the above ratio must be small. This requires both $\mu^2 \hbar / (m^3 \omega^5)$ and $\alpha = (30n^2 + 30n + 11) / 4(2n + 1)$ to be small. α is small provided n is limited to a low number. We note that for sufficiently large x , the potential $V(x)$ is negative and below the origin. Hence, a state with energy below the maximum, say, A is not truly a bound state but has a small probability of tunneling out to the right. For low lying states this probability is negligible. But for higher states the perturbation theory breaks down.

Problem 3.

A one-dimensional linear harmonic oscillator is acted upon by the force $F(t) = \frac{F_0 \tau / \omega}{\tau^2 + t^2}$, $-\infty < t < \infty$. At $t = -\infty$, the oscillator is in the ground state. Using the time-dependent perturbation theory to first-order, calculate the probability that the oscillator is found to be in the excited state at $t = \infty$.

The transition coefficient $a_1^{(1)}(t)$ for the given problem is

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{(1)}(t) &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t'} \langle 1 | H^{(1)} | 0 \rangle dt' \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t'} \langle 1 | x | 0 \rangle \frac{F_0 \tau / \omega}{\tau^2 + t'^2} dt' \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \right)^{1/2} (F_0 \tau / \omega) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\omega t'}}{\tau^2 + t'^2} dt'. \end{aligned}$$

The integral in the above equation can be evaluated using contour integration. Its value is $(\pi/\tau)e^{-\omega\tau}$. Then

$$a_1^{(1)}(t) = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F_0 \pi}{\omega} e^{-\omega\tau}.$$

and hence

$$\left| a_1^{(1)}(t) \right|^2 = \frac{F_0^2 \pi^2}{2m\hbar\omega^3} e^{-2\omega\tau}.$$

The time $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to turning the perturbation slowly, that is, $\omega\tau \gg 1$. Hence, the transition probability vanishes. The other limit $\omega\tau \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to the application of an impulsive perturbation with $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau}{\pi(t^2 + \tau^2)} = \delta(t)$. Therefore, for $\tau \rightarrow 0$, $\left| a_1^{(1)}(t) \right|^2 = (F_0^2 \pi^2) / (2m\hbar\omega^3)$.

Problem 4.

A particle of mass m is acted on by the three-dimensional potential $V(r) = -V_0 e^{-r/a}$ where $\hbar^2/(V_0 a^2 m) = 3/4$. Use the trial function $e^{-r/\beta}$ to obtain a bound on the energy.

The normalization condition gives $N = \sqrt{1/(\pi\beta^3)}$. Since V is independent of θ and ϕ

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E \rangle &= -4\pi N^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_0^\infty e^{-r/\beta} r^2 \left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \right) e^{-r/\beta} dr \\ &\quad - 4\pi N^2 V_0 \int_0^\infty e^{-2r/\beta} e^{-r/a} r^2 dr . \end{aligned}$$

Carrying out the differentiation the above integral we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E \rangle &= -\frac{4\pi\hbar^2 N^2}{2m\beta^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-2r/\beta} r^2 dr + \frac{8\pi\hbar^2 N^2}{2m\beta} \int_0^\infty e^{-2r/\beta} r dr \\ &\quad - 4\pi N^2 V_0 \int_0^\infty e^{-(\frac{2}{\beta} + \frac{1}{a})r} r^2 dr . \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E \rangle &= -\frac{\pi\hbar^2 N^2}{2m\beta^2} \frac{2\beta^3}{8} + \frac{8\pi\hbar^2 N^2}{2m\beta} \frac{\beta^2}{4} - \frac{8\pi N^2 V_0}{\left(\frac{2}{\beta} + \frac{1}{a}\right)^3} \\ &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m\beta^2} - \frac{8V_0}{\left(2 + \frac{\beta}{a}\right)^3} . \end{aligned}$$

$\partial\langle E \rangle/\partial\beta = 0$ gives

$$\frac{32}{\left(2 + \frac{\beta}{a}\right)^4} = \frac{a^3}{\beta^3} .$$

If $\beta/a = 2$ the above equation is satisfied. Therefore, $\beta = 2a$. Then $\langle E \rangle = -V_0/32$.