

Solutions for MID-TERM  
Problem 1.

Calculate the first and second-orders corrections to the energy eigenvalues of a linear harmonic oscillator with the cubic term  $-\lambda\mu x^3$  added to the potential. Discuss the condition for the validity of the approximation.

The Hamiltonian of the perturbed system is  $H = H^{(0)} + \lambda H^{(1)}$  where  $H^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2m}p_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}kx^2$ ,  $H^{(1)} = -\mu x^3$ . The first-order correction to energy eigenvalues is given by

$$E_n^{(1)} = \langle n | -\mu x^3 | n \rangle = -\mu \left( \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \right)^{3/2} \langle n | (a + a^\dagger)^3 | n \rangle .$$

The expansion of  $(a + a^\dagger)^3$  is

$$a^3 + a^2 a^\dagger + a a^\dagger a + a^\dagger a^2 + a^{\dagger 2} a + a^\dagger a a^\dagger + a a^{\dagger 2} + a^{\dagger 3} .$$

In the above expansion each term has unequal powers of  $a$  and  $a^\dagger$ . Hence,  $\langle n | (a + a^\dagger)^3 | n \rangle = 0$  and  $E_n^{(1)} = 0$ . The first-order correction to the energy eigenvalues is thus 0. Next, calculate the second-order correction to  $E_n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{(2)} &= \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{|\langle n | H^{(1)} | m \rangle|^2}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}} \\ &= \frac{\mu^2}{\hbar\omega} \left( \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \right)^3 \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{|\langle n | (a + a^\dagger)^3 | m \rangle|^2}{(n - m)} . \end{aligned}$$

Consider the term  $\langle n | (a + a^\dagger)^3 | m \rangle$ . It is expanded as

$$\langle n | a^3 + a^2 a^\dagger + a a^\dagger a + a^\dagger a^2 + a^{\dagger 2} a + a^\dagger a a^\dagger + a a^{\dagger 2} + a^{\dagger 3} | m \rangle .$$

We evaluate each term in the above integral. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n | a^3 | m \rangle &= \langle n | a^2 \sqrt{m} | m - 1 \rangle \\ &= \langle n | a \sqrt{m(m-1)} | m - 2 \rangle \\ &= \langle n | \sqrt{m(m-1)(m-2)} | m - 3 \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{m(m-1)(m-2)} \delta_{n,m-3} \\ \langle n | a^2 a^\dagger | m \rangle &= \langle n | a^2 \sqrt{m+1} | m + 1 \rangle \\ &= (m+1) \sqrt{m} \delta_{n,m-1} \\ \langle n | a a^\dagger a | m \rangle &= m \sqrt{m} \delta_{n,m-1} \\ \langle n | a^\dagger a^2 | m \rangle &= (m-1) \sqrt{m} \delta_{n,m-1} \\ \langle n | a^{\dagger 2} a | m \rangle &= m \sqrt{m+1} \delta_{n,m+1} \\ \langle n | a^\dagger a a^\dagger | m \rangle &= (m+1) \sqrt{m+1} \delta_{n,m+1} \\ \langle n | a a^{\dagger 2} | m \rangle &= (m+2) \sqrt{m+1} \delta_{n,m+1} \\ \langle n | a^{\dagger 3} | m \rangle &= \sqrt{(m+1)(m+2)(m+3)} \delta_{n,m+3} . \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n | (a + a^\dagger)^3 | m \rangle &= \sqrt{m(m-1)(m-2)} \delta_{n,m-3} \\ &\quad + 3m^{3/2} \delta_{n,m-1} + 3(m+1)^{3/2} \delta_{n,m+1} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{(m+1)(m+2)(m+3)} \delta_{n,m+3} . \end{aligned}$$

In the summation in the expression for  $E_n^{(2)}$  the nonzero contribution of  $\langle n | (a + a^\dagger)^3 | m \rangle$  comes from the cases  $m = n + 3$ ,  $n + 1$ ,  $n - 1$  and  $n - 3$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{(2)} &= \frac{\mu^2}{\hbar\omega} \left( \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \right)^3 \left[ \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{-3} + \frac{9(n+1)^3}{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{9n^3}{1} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3} \right] \\ &= -\frac{\mu^2 \hbar^2}{8m^3 \omega^4} (30n^2 + 30n + 11) . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $E_n^{(2)}$  is negative, all the energy eigenvalues are reduced. The amount of reduction increases with  $n$ . This is because due to the cubic term the potential flattens for large  $x$ .

The ratio of the change in energy due to the cubic term is

$$\frac{E_n^{(2)}}{E_n^{(0)}} = -\frac{\mu^2 \hbar}{4m^3 \omega^5} \frac{(30n^2 + 30n + 11)}{(2n + 1)}.$$

A condition for the validity of the perturbation theory is that the above ratio must be small. This requires both  $\mu^2 \hbar / (m^3 \omega^5)$  and  $\alpha = (30n^2 + 30n + 11) / 4(2n + 1)$  to be small.  $\alpha$  is small provided  $n$  is limited to a low number. We note that for sufficiently large  $x$ , the potential  $V(x)$  is negative and below the origin. Hence, a state with energy below the maximum, say,  $A$  is not truly a bound state but has a small probability of tunneling out to the right. For low lying states this probability is negligible. But for higher states the perturbation theory breaks down.

### Problem 3.

A one-dimensional linear harmonic oscillator is acted upon by the force  $F(t) = \frac{F_0 \tau / \omega}{\tau^2 + t^2}$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$ . At  $t = -\infty$ , the oscillator is in the ground state. Using the time-dependent perturbation theory to first-order, calculate the probability that the oscillator is found to be in the excited state at  $t = \infty$ .

The transition coefficient  $a_1^{(1)}(t)$  for the given problem is

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{(1)}(t) &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t'} \langle 1 | H^{(1)} | 0 \rangle dt' \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t'} \langle 1 | x | 0 \rangle \frac{F_0 \tau / \omega}{\tau^2 + t'^2} dt' \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \right)^{1/2} (F_0 \tau / \omega) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\omega t'}}{\tau^2 + t'^2} dt'. \end{aligned}$$

The integral in the above equation can be evaluated using contour integration. Its value is  $(\pi/\tau)e^{-\omega\tau}$ . Then

$$a_1^{(1)}(t) = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F_0 \pi}{\omega} e^{-\omega\tau}.$$

and hence

$$\left| a_1^{(1)}(t) \right|^2 = \frac{F_0^2 \pi^2}{2m\hbar\omega^3} e^{-2\omega\tau}.$$

The time  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$  corresponds to turning the perturbation slowly, that is,  $\omega\tau \gg 1$ . Hence, the transition probability vanishes. The other limit  $\omega\tau \rightarrow 0$  corresponds to the application of an impulsive perturbation with  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau}{\pi(t^2 + \tau^2)} = \delta(t)$ . Therefore, for  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\left| a_1^{(1)}(t) \right|^2 = (F_0^2 \pi^2) / (2m\hbar\omega^3)$ .

Problem 4.

A particle of mass  $m$  is acted on by the three-dimensional potential  $V(r) = -V_0 e^{-r/a}$  where  $\hbar^2/(V_0 a^2 m) = 3/4$ . Use the trial function  $e^{-r/\beta}$  to obtain a bound on the energy.

The normalization condition gives  $N = \sqrt{1/(\pi\beta^3)}$ . Since  $V$  is independent of  $\theta$  and  $\phi$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E \rangle &= -4\pi N^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_0^\infty e^{-r/\beta} r^2 \left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \right) e^{-r/\beta} dr \\ &\quad - 4\pi N^2 V_0 \int_0^\infty e^{-2r/\beta} e^{-r/a} r^2 dr . \end{aligned}$$

Carrying out the differentiation the above integral we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E \rangle &= -\frac{4\pi\hbar^2 N^2}{2m\beta^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-2r/\beta} r^2 dr + \frac{8\pi\hbar^2 N^2}{2m\beta} \int_0^\infty e^{-2r/\beta} r dr \\ &\quad - 4\pi N^2 V_0 \int_0^\infty e^{-(\frac{2}{\beta} + \frac{1}{a})r} r^2 dr . \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E \rangle &= -\frac{\pi\hbar^2 N^2}{2m\beta^2} \frac{2\beta^3}{8} + \frac{8\pi\hbar^2 N^2}{2m\beta} \frac{\beta^2}{4} - \frac{8\pi N^2 V_0}{\left(\frac{2}{\beta} + \frac{1}{a}\right)^3} \\ &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m\beta^2} - \frac{8V_0}{\left(2 + \frac{\beta}{a}\right)^3} . \end{aligned}$$

$\partial\langle E \rangle/\partial\beta = 0$  gives

$$\frac{32}{\left(2 + \frac{\beta}{a}\right)^4} = \frac{a^3}{\beta^3} .$$

If  $\beta/a = 2$  the above equation is satisfied. Therefore,  $\beta = 2a$ . Then  $\langle E \rangle = -V_0/32$ .

## Problem 5

Calculate the differential cross-section for a central Gaussian potential  $V(r) = (V_0/\sqrt{4\pi})e^{-r^2/4a^2}$  under Born approximation.

Under the Born approximation

$$\begin{aligned} f &= -\frac{2mV_0}{s\hbar^2\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^\infty r \sin(sr) e^{-r^2/(4a^2)} dr \\ &= \frac{2mV_0}{s\hbar^2\sqrt{4\pi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_0^\infty \cos sr e^{-r^2/4a^2} dr \\ &= \frac{mV_0}{s\hbar^2\sqrt{4\pi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \cos(sr) e^{-r^2/4a^2} dr . \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $\cos(sr) = (e^{isr} + e^{-isr})/2$  and defining  $x = (r/2a) - isa$ ,  $y =$

$(r/2a) + isa$  and using the result  $\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{mV_0a}{s\hbar^2\sqrt{4\pi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} e^{-s^2a^2} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-x^2} dx + \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-y^2} dy \right] \\ &= \frac{mV_0a}{s\hbar^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} e^{-s^2a^2} \\ &= -\frac{2mV_0a^3}{\hbar^2} e^{-s^2a^2} . \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f|^2 = \frac{4m^2V_0^2a^6}{\hbar^4} e^{-2s^2a^2} .$$

## Problem 6

Estimate the ground state of the infinite-well (one-dimensional box) problem defined by

$$V = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } |x| < L \\ \infty, & \text{for } |x| > L, \end{cases}$$

using the trial eigenfunction  $\phi = |L|^\alpha - |x|^\alpha$  with  $\alpha$  the trial parameter and compare it with the exact energy value.

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E \rangle &= \frac{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{-L}^L \left( \phi^* \frac{d^2\phi}{dx^2} \right) dx}{\int_{-L}^L \phi^* \phi dx} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \alpha(\alpha - 1) \int_0^L (L^\alpha - x^\alpha) x^{\alpha-2} dx}{\int_0^L (L^{2\alpha} - 2L^\alpha x^\alpha + x^{2\alpha}) dx} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha + 1)(2\alpha + 1)}{2\alpha - 1} \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{4mL^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From  $\partial\langle E \rangle/\partial\alpha = 0$  we get  $\alpha = (1 \pm \sqrt{6})/2$ . Since  $\alpha$  has to be positive for physically acceptable solution we choose  $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{6})/2 \approx 1.72$ . Then using  $E_{\text{exact}} = \hbar^2\pi^2/(8mL^2)$  we obtain

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{2.72 \times 4.44 \times 2 \times E_{\text{exact}}}{2.44 \times \pi^2} = 1.003E_{\text{exact}}.$$

The percentage of error is 0.3%.